

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,882	02/27/2004		Richard C. Kirby	4575	
7	590	11/16/2004		EXAM	INER
THE LAW O		MILLER, BENA B			
JAMES C. SIM	1MONS				
11 Falmouth L	ane		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Williamsville,	NY 14221			3714	•

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,882	KIRBY, RICHARD C.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Bena Miller	3714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be period	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	:		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ TI	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life.	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/27/04. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-16 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-16 of copending Application No. 10/768,876. The claims of the instant application recite most of the elements of the copending application except for a pair of longitudinally extending upper arcuate edges. However, it would have been obvious to delete this feature from the box call in the copending application for the purpose of making the box call to more economical to produce.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/788,882

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 6, there is lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "at least one edge".

Regarding claims 6 and 11, there is lack of antecedent basis for the limitation "the contour longitudinally of said at least one arcuate side wall edge" and "the contour longitudinally of said arcuate side wall edges", respectively.

Regarding claims 15 16, the claims are vague and indefinite because claims 1 and 6 requires a longitudinally extending upper arcuate edge on at least one of the walls; however, claims 15 and 16 require a pair of longitudinally extending upper arcuate edge on said walls. It is not clear if the box call has a longitudinally extending upper arcuate edge on at least one of the walls or a pair of upper arcuate edge on the walls. Clarification of the scope of the claim is require in response to the Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

Claims 1, 2, 5-7,10, 15 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirby (US Patent #6,168,493).

Art Unit: 3714

Kirby teaches in the figures a box call comprising a box having a pair of longitudinal walls, sounding camber, a longitudinally extending upper arcuate edges and a paddle with a lower longitudinally arcuate surface. The lower surface of the paddle has a centrally truncated lateral arc and a contour longitudinally which conforms substantially to the contour of the wall edges (fig. 9). The paddle is pivotally attached to an end portion of the box (fig.8).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 4, 8, 9 and 11-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirby in view of Applicant's Admission of Prior Art (AAPA).

Kirby teaches in the figures most of the elements of the claimed invention except for the paddle having an arc rise laterally of about 1/8 to 3/16 inches. The applicant admits on page 6 of the disclosed specification, the conventional box call paddle may have a rise (arc) of typically about 3/16 to 1/14 inch over the typical paddle width of about 1 ¾ inches. It should be noted that the claims 3, 8 and 11 requires the range of the arc rise to be about 1/8 to 3/16 inch; therefore, the admitted prior art by applicant includes a 3/16 inch arc rise. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a 3/16 inch as disclosed by AAPA for

Art Unit: 3714

lateral arc rise of Kirby for the purpose of providing a more clear and raspy quality sound.

Regarding claims 4 and 9, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made have the arc rise laterally of about 1/8 inch for the purpose of providing a more clear and raspy quality sound.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bena Miller whose telephone number is 703.305.0643. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bena Miller Examiner Art Unit 3714

bbm November 12, 2004